

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APP	LICATION NO.	FII	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/612,764	0	6/30/2003	Vassoudevane Lebonheur	884.862US1	5896
	21186	7590	03/13/2006		EXA	MINER
	SCHWEGM 1600 TCF TO	•	NDBERG, WO	MANDALA, VICTOR A		
	121 SOUTH E		reet	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	MINNEAPOL	JS, MN	55402		2826	•

DATE MAILED: 03/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary						
		10/612,764	LEBONHEUR ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Victor A. Mandala Jr.	2826			
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	n tne correspondence address			
WHIII - Exte afte - If No - Fail Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per lure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stay reply received by the Office later than three months after the month patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- tiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT atute, cause the application to become ABA	CATION. ply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status			•			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19	9 <u>December 2005</u> .				
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposi	tion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,3-16 and 18-30</u> is/are pending ir	the application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) $\underline{6}$ is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
·	Claim(s) <u>1, 3-5, 7-16,& 18-30</u> is/are rejected	d.				
· · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.				
Applica	tion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ :					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to					
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum					
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum					
	3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the p		received in this National Stage			
	application from the International Bu					
•	See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not t	receivea.			
Attachme	nt(s)		•			
1) Noti	ice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)			
	ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Panar Nicio)/Mail Date			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

6) Other: _

'n

Art Unit: 2826

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3, 4, 20, 21, 24, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,058,021 Yamamoto et al.

- 1. Referring to claim 1, an article comprising: a first die disposed upon a mounting substrate, (Figure 4D #101), wherein the first die, (Figure 4D #103), includes a first die, (Figure 4D #103), active first surface and a first die backside second surface; and a molding compound cap, (Figure 4D #1), abutting the first die, (Figure 4D #103), and including a third surface that originates substantially above the first die, (Figure 4D #103), active first surface and below the first die backside second surface, wherein the third surface that originates substantially above the first die, (Figure 4D #103), active first surface includes: a meniscus, (Figure 4D #1), that originates substantially above the first die, (Figure 4D #103), active first surface; and a substantially planar surface that is selected from parallel planar to the first die, (Figure 4D #103), active first surface, and located above the first die active first surface at a height that is a fraction of the die height, (Figure 4D #103).
- 2. Referring to claim 3, an article, wherein the third surface that originates substantially above the first die, (Figure 4D #103), active first surface, includes: a meniscus, (Figure 4D #1), that originates substantially above the first die, (Figure 4D #103), active first surface, and

Art Unit: 2826

wherein the meniscus, (Figure 4D #1), is selected from a capillary action meniscus and an imposed meniscus.

- Referring to claim 4, an article, wherein the third surface that originates substantially above the first die, (Figure 4D #103), active first surface includes: a meniscus, (Figure 4D #1), that originates substantially above the first die, (Figure 4D #103), active first surface; and a substantially planar surface, (Figure 4D #1), that is coplanar to the first die, (Figure 4D #103), active first surface.
- 4. Referring to claim 20, a processing system comprising: a mold chase, (Figure 4D #1), including a profile that is capable of causing molding cap compound to originate on a die, (Figure 4D #103), at a die height that is substantially above the die active first surface and below the die backside second surface, and that forms a third surface that is substantially parallel planar, (Figure 4D #1), to the die backside second surface.
- 5. Referring to claim 21, a processing system, wherein the profile is capable of forming a meniscus, (Figure 4D #1), where the molding cap compound originates, wherein the meniscus is formed as one selected from a capillary action meniscus and an imposed meniscus.
- 6. Referring to claim 24, a process comprising: forming a molding compound cap over a first die, (Figure 4D #103), that is disposed upon a substrate, wherein the first die includes a first die active first surface and a first die, (Figure 4D #103), backside second surface, and wherein forming the molding compound cap, (Figure 4D #1), includes forming a molding compound cap, (Figure 4D #1), third surface, (Figure 4D #1), that is above the first die active first surface and below the first die, (Figure 4D #103), backside second surface.

Art Unit: 2826

7. Referring to claim 25, a process, wherein forming a molding compound cap, (Figure 4D #1), third surface includes forming the meniscus, (Figure 4D #1), selected from a capillary action meniscus and an imposed meniscus.

Page 4

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. . 6,058,021 Yamamoto et al.

8. Referring to claim 28, a process, wherein forming the molding compound cap includes injection molding the molding compound with a particulate.

Initially, and with respect to claim 28, note that a "product by process" claim is directed to the product per se, no matter how actually made, In re Hirao, 190 USPQ 15 at 17 (footnote 3). See also In re Brown, 173 USPQ 685; In re Luck, 177 USPQ 523; In re Wertheim, 191 USPQ 90 (209 USPQ 554 does not deal with this issue); In re Fitzgerald, 205 USPQ 594, 596 (CCPA); In re Marosi et al., 218 USPQ 289 (CAFC); and most recently, In re Thorpe et al., 227 USPQ 964 (CAFC, 1985) all of which make it clear that it is the final product per se which must be determined in a "product by process" claim, and not the patentability of the process, and that, as here, an old or obvious product produced by a new method is not patentable as a product, whether claimed in "product by process" claims or not. Note that Applicant has burden of proof in such cases as the above case law makes clear.

As to the grounds of rejection under section 103, see MPEP § 2113

Art Unit: 2826

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5, 7-13, 22, 23, 26, 27, 29, and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,058,021 Yamamoto et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,727,583 Naka et al.

- 9. Referring to claim 5, an article, further including a second die disposed upon the mounting substrate, (Yamamoto et al. Figure 4D #100), wherein the second die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), includes a second die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), active first surface and a second die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), backside second surface, and wherein the molding compound cap, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #2), abuts the second die, (See ** below).
- ** Yamamoto et al. discloses the claimed invention except for a second or third die, but Naka et al. does. It would have been obvious to one having skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make multiple dies, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. St. Regis Paper Co. vs. Bomis Co. 193USPQ8
- 10. Referring to claim 7, an article, further including a second die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), disposed upon the mounting substrate, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #4), wherein the second die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), includes a second die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), active first surface and a second die backside second surface, wherein the molding compound cap, (Naka et al. Figure 18

Art Unit: 2826

#2), abuts the second die, and wherein the molding compound includes a curvilinear profile between the first die, (Yamamoto et al. Figure 4D #103), and the second die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), (See ** above).

- Referring to claim 8, an article, further including: a second die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), disposed upon the mounting substrate, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #4), wherein the second die includes a second die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), active first surface and a second die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), backside second surface, wherein the molding compound cap, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #2), abuts the second die; and a last die disposed upon the mounting substrate, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #4), wherein the last die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), includes a last die active, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), first surface and a last die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), backside second surface, wherein the molding compound, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #4), cap abuts the last die, (See ** above).
- Referring to claim 9, an article, further including: a second die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), disposed upon the mounting substrate, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #4), wherein the second die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), includes a second die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), active first surface and a second die backside second surface, wherein the molding compound cap, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #2), abuts the second die; a last die disposed upon the mounting substrate, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), wherein the last die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), includes a last die active first surface and a last die backside second surface, wherein the molding compound, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #2), cap abuts the last die; and wherein the first die, (Yamamoto et al. Figure 4D #103), the second die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), and the last die, (Naka et al. Figure 4D #103), the

Art Unit: 2826

second die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #2), and the last die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), are disposed in a single molding compound cap, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #2), structure; the first die, the second die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), and the last die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), are each disposed in separate molding compound cap structures; the first die and the second die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), are disposed in a single molding compound cap structure, and at least two occurrences of the last die are disposed in a single molding compound, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #2), cap structure; and the first die and the second die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), are each disposed in separate molding compound cap, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #2), structures, and at least two occurrences of the last die are disposed in a single molding compound cap, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #2), structure, (See ** above).

- Referring to claim 10, a package comprising: a first die, (Yamamoto et al. Figure 4D #103), disposed upon a mounting substrate, (Yamamoto et al. Figure 4D #100), wherein the first die, (Yamamoto et al. Figure 4D #103), includes a first die, (Yamamoto et al. Figure 4D #103), active first surface and a first die backside second surface; a molding compound cap, (Yamamoto et al. Figure 4D #1), abutting the first die, (Yamamoto et al. Figure 4D #103), and including a third surface that originates substantially above the first die, (Yamamoto et al. Figure 4D #103), active first surface and below the first die, (Yamamoto et al. Figure 4D #103), backside second surface, and that is substantially parallel planar to the first die backside second surface; and a heat spreader, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #6), bonded to the first die backside second surface, (See *** below).
- *** Yamamoto et al. is silent on having a heat spreader and heat sink added to the chip design, but Naka et al. does teach it. It would have been obvious to one having skill in the art at

Art Unit: 2826

the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Naka et al. with the teachings of Pennisi et al. because the addition of a heat spreader and sink allows for the chip to operate at cooler temperatures resulting in better performance and reliability.

- 14. Referring to claim 11, a package, further including: a heat sink, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #7), in thermal contact with the heat spreader, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #6) and See *** above).
- 15. Referring to claim 12, a package, wherein the third surface that originates substantially above the first die, (Yamamoto et al. Figure 4D #103), active first surface, includes: a meniscus, (Yamamoto et al. Figure 4D #1), that originates substantially above the first die, (Yamamoto et al. Figure 4D #103), active first surface; and a substantially planar surface that is selected from parallel planar to the first die, (Yamamoto et al. Figure 4D #103), active first surface, and located above the first die, (Yamamoto et al. Figure 4D #103), active first surface at a height that is a fraction of the die height.
- Referring to claim 13, a package, further including: a second die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), disposed upon the mounting substrate, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #4), wherein the second die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), includes a second die active first surface and a second die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), backside second surface, wherein the molding compound cap, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #2), abuts the second die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1); and a last die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), disposed upon the mounting substrate, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #4), wherein the last die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), includes a last die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), active first surface and a last die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), backside second surface, wherein the molding compound cap, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #2), abuts the last die, (See ** above).

Art Unit: 2826

17. Referring to claim 22, a processing system, wherein the profile is capable of imposing an exposed upper surface upon a mounting substrate, (Yamamoto et al. Figure 4D #100), at a position between a first die, (Yamamoto et al. Figure 4D #103), cavity in the mold chase and a second die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), cavity in the mold chase, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #2) and See ** above).

Referring to claim 23, a processing system according to claim 20, wherein the profile 18. includes a first die cavity, (Yamamoto et al. Figure 4D #103), a second die cavity, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), contiguous the first die cavity, (Yamamoto et al. Figure 4D #103), and a last die cavity, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), contiguous the first die cavity, wherein the first die cavity, (Yamamoto et al. Figure 4D #103), the second die cavity, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), and the last die cavity, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), are arranged in a configuration selected from the first die cavity, (Yamamoto et al. Figure 4D #103), the second die cavity, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), and the last die cavity, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), are disposed in a single molding compound cap cavity, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #2); the first die cavity, (Yamamoto et al. Figure 4D #103), the second die cavity, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), and the last die cavity, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), are each disposed in separate molding compound cap cavities, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #2); the first die cavity, (Yamamoto et al. Figure 4D #103), and the second die cavity, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), are disposed in a single molding compound cap cavity, and at least two occurrences of the last die cavity, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), are disposed in a single molding compound cap cavity, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #2); and the first die cavity, (Yamamoto et al. Figure 4D #103), and the second die cavity, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), are each disposed in separate molding compound cap, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #2), cavities, and at least two

Art Unit: 2826

occurrences of the last die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), are disposed in a single molding compound cap cavity, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #2) and See ** above)..

- 19. Referring to claim 26, a process, further including: forming the molding compound cap, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #2), over a second die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), that is disposed upon the mounting substrate, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #4), wherein the second die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), includes a second die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), active first surface and a second die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), backside second surface, and wherein forming the molding compound cap, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #2), includes forming the molding compound cap, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #2), third surface parallel planar and above the second die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), active first surface and below the second die backside, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), second surface, (See ** above).
- 20. Referring to claim 27, a process, further including: forming the molding compound cap over a last die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), that is disposed upon the mounting substrate, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #4), wherein the last die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), includes a last die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), active first surface and a last die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), backside second surface, and wherein forming the molding compound cap, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #2), includes forming the molding compound cap, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #2), third surface above the last die active, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), first surface and below the last die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), backside second surface, (See ** above).
- 21. Referring to claim 29, a process, further including: forming the molding compound cap, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #2), over a second die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), that is disposed upon the mounting substrate, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #4), wherein the second die, (Naka et al. Figure 18

Art Unit: 2826

#1), includes a second die active, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), first surface and a second die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), backside second surface, and wherein forming the molding compound cap, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #2), includes forming the molding compound cap, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #2), third surface above the second die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), active first surface and below the second die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), backside second surface; and forming the molding compound cap, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #2), over a last die that is disposed upon the mounting substrate, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #4), wherein the last die includes a last die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), active first surface and a last die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), backside second surface, and wherein forming the molding compound cap, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #2), includes forming the molding compound cap, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #2), third surface above the last die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), active first surface and below the last die backside second surface, (See ** above).

22. Referring to claim 30, a process, wherein forming the molding compound cap is selected from injection molding, in situ thermal curing, pick-and-place coupling the molding compound cap with the first die, and combinations thereof.

Initially, and with respect to claim 30, note that a "product by process" claim is directed to the product per se, no matter how actually made, In re Hirao, 190 USPQ 15 at 17 (footnote 3). See also In re Brown, 173 USPQ 685; In re Luck, 177 USPQ 523; In re Wertheim, 191 USPQ 90 (209 USPQ 554 does not deal with this issue); In re Fitzgerald, 205 USPQ 594, 596 (CCPA); In re Marosi et al., 218 USPQ 289 (CAFC); and most recently, In re Thorpe et al., 227 USPQ 964 (CAFC, 1985) all of which make it clear that it is the final product per se which must be determined in a "product by process" claim, and not the patentability of the process, and that, as here, an old or obvious product produced by a new method is not patentable as a product,

Art Unit: 2826

whether claimed in "product by process" claims or not. Note that Applicant has burden of proof in such cases as the above case law makes clear. As to the grounds of rejection under section 103, see MPEP § 2113

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,058,021 Yamamoto et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,434,017 Iwabuchi.

- 23. Referring to claim 14, a computing system comprising: a first die disposed upon a mounting substrate, (Yamamoto et al. Figure 4D #100), wherein the first die, (Yamamoto et al. Figure 4D #103), includes a first die active first surface and a first die, (Yamamoto et al. Figure 4D #103), backside second surface; and a molding compound cap, (Yamamoto et al. Figure 4D #1), abutting the first die and including a third surface that originates substantially above the first die, (Yamamoto et al. Figure 4D #103), active first surface and below the first die, (Yamamoto et al. Figure 4D #103), backside second surface; and dynamic random-access data storage coupled, (Iwabuchi Col. 1 and Figure 4), to the first die, (Yamamoto et al. Figure 4D #103).
- */* Yamamoto et al. et al. teaches all of the claimed matter, but is silent on the functionality of the device having a function in a DRAM device, but does teach a function for a semiconductor device, which is a broad term which would include a DRAM, since a DRAM is a semiconductor

Art Unit: 2826

device. It would be obvious to one having skill in the art to combine the teachings of Pennisi et al. with the teachings of Iwabuchi because the function of the device as based in the claims do not change the structure of the device consistent with the molding resin as claimed. In reference to the claim language referring to [the function of the device being connected to a DRAM], intended use and other types of functional language must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. In re Casey,152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967); In re Otto, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

- 24. Referring to claim 15, a computing system, wherein the computing system is disposed in one of a computer, a wireless communicator, a hand-held device, an automobile, a locomotive, an aircraft, a watercraft, and a spacecraft, (Iwabuchi Col. 1 and Figure 4 and see */* above).
- 25. Referring to claim 16, a computing system according to claim 14, wherein the microelectronic die is selected from a data storage device, a digital signal processor, a micro controller, an application specific integrated circuit, and a microprocessor, (Iwabuchi Col. 1 and Figure 4 and see */* above).

Art Unit: 2826

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,058,021 Yamamoto et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,434,017 Iwabuchi in further view of U.S. Patent No. 6,727,583 Naka et al.

- Referring to claim 18, a computing system, further including a second die disposed upon the mounting substrate, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #4), wherein the second die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), includes a second die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), active first surface and a second die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), backside second surface, wherein the molding compound cap, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #2), abuts the second die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1); and a last die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), disposed upon the mounting substrate, wherein the last die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), includes a last die active first surface and a last die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), backside second surface, wherein the molding compound cap, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #2), abuts the last die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1) and See *//* below).
- *//* Yamamoto et al. et al. and Iwabuchi discloses the claimed invention except for a second or third die, but Naka et al. does. It would have been obvious to one having skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make multiple dies, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. St. Regis Paper Co. vs. Bomis Co. 193USPQ8

Art Unit: 2826

Referring to claim 19, a computing system, further including a second die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), disposed upon the mounting substrate, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #4), wherein the second die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), includes a second die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), active first surface and a second die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), backside second surface, wherein the molding compound cap, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #2), abuts the second die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1); and a last die disposed upon the mounting substrate, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #4), wherein the last die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), includes a last die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), active first surface and a last die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), backside second surface, wherein the molding compound cap, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #2), abuts the last die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1) and See *//* above).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3, 4, 20, 21, 24, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,128,746 Pennisi et al.

28. Referring to claim 1, an article comprising: a first die disposed upon a mounting substrate, (Figure 2 #200), wherein the first die, (Figure 2 #230), includes a first die, (Figure 2 #230), active first surface and a first die backside second surface; and a molding compound cap, (Figure 2 #220), abutting the first die, (Figure 2 #230), and including a third surface that originates substantially above the first die, (Figure 2 #230), active first surface and below the

Art Unit: 2826

first die backside second surface, wherein the third surface that originates substantially above the first die, (Figure 2 #230), active first surface includes: a meniscus, (Figure 2 #260), that originates substantially above the first die, (Figure 2 #230), active first surface; and a substantially planar surface that is selected from parallel planar to the first die, (Figure 2 #230), active first surface, and located above the first die active first surface at a height that is a fraction of the die height, (Figure 2 #230).

Page 16

- 29. Referring to claim 3, an article, wherein the third surface that originates substantially above the first die, (Figure 2 #230), active first surface, includes: a meniscus, (Figure 2 #260), that originates substantially above the first die, (Figure 2 #230), active first surface, and wherein the meniscus, (Figure 2 #260), is selected from a capillary action meniscus and an imposed meniscus.
- 30. Referring to claim 4, an article, wherein the third surface that originates substantially above the first die, (Figure 2 #230), active first surface includes: a meniscus, (Figure 2 #260), that originates substantially above the first die, (Figure 2 #230), active first surface; and a substantially planar surface, (Figure 2 #220), that is coplanar to the first die, (Figure 2 #230), active first surface.
- 31. Referring to claim 20, a processing system comprising: a mold chase, (Figure 2 #220), including a profile that is capable of causing molding cap compound to originate on a die, (Figure 2 #230), at a die height that is substantially above the die active first surface and below the die backside second surface, and that forms a third surface that is substantially parallel planar, (Figure 2 #220), to the die backside second surface.

Art Unit: 2826

Referring to claim 21, a processing system, wherein the profile is capable of forming a meniscus, (Figure 2 #260), where the molding cap compound originates, wherein the meniscus is formed as one selected from a capillary action meniscus and an imposed meniscus.

- Referring to claim 24, a process comprising: forming a molding compound cap over a first die, (Figure 2 #230), that is disposed upon a substrate, wherein the first die includes a first die active first surface and a first die, (Figure 2 #230), backside second surface, and wherein forming the molding compound cap, (Figure 2 #220), includes forming a molding compound cap, (Figure 2 #220), third surface that is above the first die active first surface and below the first die, (Figure 2 #230), backside second surface.
- Referring to claim 25, a process, wherein forming a molding compound cap, (Figure 2 #220), third surface includes forming the meniscus, (Figure 2 #260), selected from a capillary action meniscus and an imposed meniscus.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,128,746 Pennisi et al.

35. Referring to claim 28, a process, wherein forming the molding compound cap includes injection molding the molding compound with a particulate.

Art Unit: 2826

Initially, and with respect to claim 28, note that a "product by process" claim is directed to the product per se, no matter how actually made, In re Hirao, 190 USPQ 15 at 17 (footnote 3). See also In re Brown, 173 USPQ 685; In re Luck, 177 USPQ 523; In re Wertheim, 191 USPQ 90 (209 USPQ 554 does not deal with this issue); In re Fitzgerald, 205 USPQ 594, 596 (CCPA); In re Marosi et al., 218 USPQ 289 (CAFC); and most recently, In re Thorpe et al., 227 USPQ 964 (CAFC, 1985) all of which make it clear that it is the final product per se which must be determined in a "product by process" claim, and not the patentability of the process, and that, as here, an old or obvious product produced by a new method is not patentable as a product, whether claimed in "product by process" claims or not. Note that Applicant has burden of proof in such cases as the above case law makes clear.

As to the grounds of rejection under section 103, see MPEP § 2113

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5, 7-13, 22, 23, 26, 27, 29, and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,128,746 Pennisi et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,727,583 Naka et al.

- Referring to claim 5, an article, further including a second die disposed upon the mounting substrate, (Pennisi et al. Figure 2 #200), wherein the second die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), includes a second die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), active first surface and a second die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), backside second surface, and wherein the molding compound cap, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #2), abuts the second die, (See ** below).
- ** Pennisi et al. discloses the claimed invention except for a second or third die, but Naka et al. does. It would have been obvious to one having skill in the art at the time the invention was

Art Unit: 2826

made to make multiple dies, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. St. Regis Paper Co. vs. Bomis Co. 193USPQ8

- Referring to claim 7, an article, further including a second die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), disposed upon the mounting substrate, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #4), wherein the second die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), includes a second die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), active first surface and a second die backside second surface, wherein the molding compound cap, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #2), abuts the second die, and wherein the molding compound includes a curvilinear profile between the first die, (Pennisi et al. Figure 2 #230), and the second die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), (See ** above).
- Referring to claim 8, an article, further including: a second die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), disposed upon the mounting substrate, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #4), wherein the second die includes a second die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), active first surface and a second die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), backside second surface, wherein the molding compound cap, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #2), abuts the second die; and a last die disposed upon the mounting substrate, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #4), wherein the last die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), includes a last die active, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), first surface and a last die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), backside second surface, wherein the molding compound, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #4), cap abuts the last die, (See ** above).
- Referring to claim 9, an article, further including: a second die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), disposed upon the mounting substrate, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #4), wherein the second die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), includes a second die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), active first surface

Art Unit: 2826

and a second die backside second surface, wherein the molding compound cap, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #2), abuts the second die; a last die disposed upon the mounting substrate, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), wherein the last die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), includes a last die active first surface and a last die backside second surface, wherein the molding compound, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #2), cap abuts the last die; and wherein the first die, (Pennisi et al. Figure 2 #230), the second die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), and the last die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), are arranged in a configuration selected from: the first die, (Pennisi et al. Figure 2 #230), the second die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #2), and the last die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), are disposed in a single molding compound cap, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #2), structure; the first die, the second die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), and the last die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), are each disposed in separate molding compound cap structures; the first die and the second die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), are disposed in a single molding compound cap structure, and at least two occurrences of the last die are disposed in a single molding compound, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #2), cap structure; and the first die and the second die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), are each disposed in separate molding compound cap, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #2), structures, and at least two occurrences of the last die are disposed in a single molding compound cap, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #2), structure, (See ** above).

40. Referring to claim 10, a package comprising: a first die, (Pennisi et al. Figure 2 #230), disposed upon a mounting substrate, (Pennisi et al. Figure 2 #200), wherein the first die, (Pennisi et al. Figure 2 #230), includes a first die, (Pennisi et al. Figure 2 #230), active first surface and a first die backside second surface; a molding compound cap, (Pennisi et al. Figure 2 #220), abutting the first die, (Pennisi et al. Figure 2 #230), and including a third surface that originates

Art Unit: 2826

substantially above the first die, (Pennisi et al. Figure 2 #230), active first surface and below the first die, (Pennisi et al. Figure 2 #230), backside second surface, and that is substantially parallel planar to the first die backside second surface; and a heat spreader, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #6), bonded to the first die backside second surface, (See *** below).

- *** Imasu et al. is silent on having a heat spreader and heat sink added to the chip design, but Naka et al. does teach it. It would have been obvious to one having skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Naka et al. with the teachings of Pennisi et al. because the addition of a heat spreader and sink allows for the chip to operate at cooler temperatures resulting in better performance and reliability.
- 41. Referring to claim 11, a package, further including: a heat sink, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #7), in thermal contact with the heat spreader, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #6) and See *** above).
- 42. Referring to claim 12, a package, wherein the third surface that originates substantially above the first die, (Pennisi et al. Figure 2 #230), active first surface, includes: a meniscus, (Pennisi et al. Figure 2 #260), that originates substantially above the first die, (Pennisi et al. Figure 2 #230), active first surface; and a substantially planar surface that is selected from parallel planar to the first die, (Pennisi et al. Figure 2 #230), active first surface, and located above the first die, (Pennisi et al. Figure 2 #230), active first surface at a height that is a fraction of the die height.
- 43. Referring to claim 13, a package, further including: a second die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), disposed upon the mounting substrate, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #4), wherein the second die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), includes a second die active first surface and a second die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), backside second surface, wherein the molding compound cap, (Naka et al.

Art Unit: 2826

Figure 18 #2), abuts the second die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1); and a last die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), disposed upon the mounting substrate, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #4), wherein the last die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), includes a last die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), active first surface and a last die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), backside second surface, wherein the molding compound cap, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #2), abuts the last die, (See ** above).

- Referring to claim 22, a processing system, wherein the profile is capable of imposing an exposed upper surface upon a mounting substrate, (Pennisi et al. Figure 2 #200), at a position between a first die, (Pennisi et al. Figure 2 #230), cavity in the mold chase and a second die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), cavity in the mold chase, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #2) and See ** above).
- Referring to claim 23, a processing system according to claim 20, wherein the profile includes a first die cavity, (Pennisi et al. Figure 2 #230), a second die cavity, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), contiguous the first die cavity, (Pennisi et al. Figure 2 #230), and a last die cavity, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), contiguous the first die cavity, wherein the first die cavity, (Pennisi et al. Figure 2 #230), the second die cavity, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), and the last die cavity, (Naka et al. Figure 2 #230), the second die cavity, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), and the last die cavity, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), are disposed in a single molding compound cap cavity, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #2); the first die cavity, (Pennisi et al. Figure 2 #230), the second die cavity, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), and the last die cavity, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), and the last die cavity, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), and the last die cavity, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), are each disposed in separate molding compound cap cavities, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #2); the first die cavity, (Pennisi et al. Figure 2 #230), and the second die cavity, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), are disposed in a single

Art Unit: 2826

molding compound cap cavity, and at least two occurrences of the last die cavity, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), are disposed in a single molding compound cap cavity, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #2); and the first die cavity, (Pennisi et al. Figure 2 #230), and the second die cavity, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), are each disposed in separate molding compound cap, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #2), cavities, and at least two occurrences of the last die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), are disposed in a single molding compound cap cavity, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #2) and See ** above)...

- Referring to claim 26, a process, further including: forming the molding compound cap, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #2), over a second die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), that is disposed upon the mounting substrate, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #4), wherein the second die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), includes a second die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), active first surface and a second die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), backside second surface, and wherein forming the molding compound cap, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #2), includes forming the molding compound cap, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #2), third surface parallel planar and above the second die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), active first surface and below the second die backside, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), second surface, (See ** above).
- A7. Referring to claim 27, a process, further including: forming the molding compound cap over a last die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), that is disposed upon the mounting substrate, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #4), wherein the last die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), includes a last die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), active first surface and a last die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), backside second surface, and wherein forming the molding compound cap, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #2), includes forming the molding compound cap, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #2), third surface above the last die

Art Unit: 2826

active, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), first surface and below the last die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), backside second surface, (See ** above).

- Referring to claim 29, a process, further including: forming the molding compound cap, 48. (Naka et al. Figure 18 #2), over a second die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), that is disposed upon the mounting substrate, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #4), wherein the second die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), includes a second die active, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), first surface and a second die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), backside second surface, and wherein forming the molding compound cap, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #2), includes forming the molding compound cap, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #2), third surface above the second die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), active first surface and below the second die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), backside second surface; and forming the molding compound cap, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #2), over a last die that is disposed upon the mounting substrate, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #4), wherein the last die includes a last die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), active first surface and a last die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), backside second surface, and wherein forming the molding compound cap, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #2), includes forming the molding compound cap, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #2), third surface above the last die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), active first surface and below the last die backside second surface, (See ** above). 49. Referring to claim 30, a process, wherein forming the molding compound cap is selected
- 49. Referring to claim 30, a process, wherein forming the molding compound cap is selected from injection molding, in situ thermal curing, pick-and-place coupling the molding compound cap with the first die, and combinations thereof.

Initially, and with respect to claim 30, note that a "product by process" claim is directed to the product per se, no matter how actually made, <u>In re Hirao</u>, 190 USPQ 15 at 17 (footnote 3). See also <u>In re Brown</u>, 173 USPQ 685; <u>In re Luck</u>, 177 USPQ 523; <u>In re Wertheim</u>, 191 USPQ 90 (209 USPQ 554 does not deal with this issue); <u>In re Fitzgerald</u>, 205 USPQ 594, 596 (CCPA); <u>In</u>

Art Unit: 2826

re Marosi et al., 218 USPQ 289 (CAFC); and most recently, In re Thorpe et al., 227 USPQ 964 (CAFC, 1985) all of which make it clear that it is the final product per se which must be determined in a "product by process" claim, and not the patentability of the process, and that, as here, an old or obvious product produced by a new method is not patentable as a product, whether claimed in "product by process" claims or not. Note that Applicant has burden of proof in such cases as the above case law makes clear. As to the grounds of rejection under section 103, see MPEP § 2113

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,128,746 Pennisi et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,434,017 Iwabuchi.

Referring to claim 14, a computing system comprising: a first die disposed upon a mounting substrate, (Pennisi et al. Figure 2 #200), wherein the first die, (Pennisi et al. Figure 2 #230), includes a first die active first surface and a first die, (Pennisi et al. Figure 2 #230), backside second surface; and a molding compound cap, (Pennisi et al. Figure 2 #220), abutting the first die and including a third surface that originates substantially above the first die, (Pennisi et al. Figure 2 #230), active first surface and below the first die, (Pennisi et al. Figure 2 #230), backside second surface; and dynamic random-access data storage coupled, (Iwabuchi Col. 1 and Figure 4), to the first die, (Pennisi et al. Figure 2 #230).

Art Unit: 2826

- */* Pennisi et al. teaches all of the claimed matter, but is silent on the functionality of the device having a function in a DRAM device, but does tech a function for a semiconductor device, which is a broad term which would include a DRAM, since a DRAM is a semiconductor device. It would be obvious to one having skill in the art to combine the teachings of Pennisi et al. with the teachings of Iwabuchi because the function of the device as based in the claims do not change the structure of the device consistent with the molding resin as claimed. In reference to the claim language referring to [the function of the device being connected to a DRAM], intended use and other types of functional language must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. In re Casey,152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967); In re Otto, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).
- Referring to claim 15, a computing system, wherein the computing system is disposed in one of a computer, a wireless communicator, a hand-held device, an automobile, a locomotive, an aircraft, a watercraft, and a spacecraft, (Iwabuchi Col. 1 and Figure 4 and see */* above).
- 52. Referring to claim 16, a computing system according to claim 14, wherein the microelectronic die is selected from a data storage device, a digital signal processor, a micro controller, an application specific integrated circuit, and a microprocessor, (Iwabuchi Col. 1 and Figure 4 and see */* above).

Art Unit: 2826

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. . 5,128,746 Pennisi et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,434,017 Iwabuchi in further view of U.S. Patent No. 6,727,583 Naka et al.

- Referring to claim 18, a computing system, further including a second die disposed upon the mounting substrate, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #4), wherein the second die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), includes a second die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), active first surface and a second die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), backside second surface, wherein the molding compound cap, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #2), abuts the second die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1); and a last die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), disposed upon the mounting substrate, wherein the last die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), includes a last die active first surface and a last die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), backside second surface, wherein the molding compound cap, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #2), abuts the last die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1) and See *//* below).
- *//* Pennisi et al. and Iwabuchi discloses the claimed invention except for a second or third die, but Naka et al. does. It would have been obvious to one having skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make multiple dies, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. St. Regis Paper Co. vs.

Bomis Co. 193USPQ8

Art Unit: 2826

Referring to claim 19, a computing system, further including a second die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), disposed upon the mounting substrate, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #4), wherein the second die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), includes a second die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), active first surface and a second die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), backside second surface, wherein the molding compound cap, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #2), abuts the second die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1); and a last die disposed upon the mounting substrate, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #4), wherein the last die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), includes a last die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), active first surface and a last die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1), backside second surface, wherein the molding compound cap, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #2), abuts the last die, (Naka et al. Figure 18 #1) and See *//* above).

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. U.S. Patent No. 6,995,476 and 5,136,365.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Victor A. Mandala Jr. whose telephone number is (571) 272-1918. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 8am till 6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan J. Flynn can be reached on (571) 272-1915. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2826

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

VAMJ 3/2/06 SUPERVISORI CARLO ENTER 2800